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Abstract

Asurion is an independent company based in Nashville, Tennessee provides device protection and support services for smartphones, tablets, and consumer electronics etc. Asurion is serving its 280 million customers by having its Contact Center application and Web application in place. Reducing the data redundancy by merging both the systems into a single system thereby increasing the application performance is all about Horizon System.
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Chapter 1: Introduction

Introduction

Asurion is an independent company based in Nashville, Tennessee that provides device protection and support services for smartphones, tablets, consumer electronics, appliances, satellite receivers and jewelry. At present Asurion is serving 280 million customers worldwide. Company services are sold through mobile phone carriers, direct broadcast satellite service providers and retailers such as AT&T, Verizon Wireless, Sprint, NTT DoCoMo, DirecTV and Wal-Mart. Asurion has an A+ rating with the Better Business Bureau. The Consumer Electronics Association recognized Asurion in 2015 with a CES Innovation award for its support and protection application that combines live support, notifications to keep devices running and mobile security.

This capstone project proposal will focus on upgrading the Device Protection Insurance project to Pega Rules Process Commander v7.1.7. As a process of upgradation, it focuses on the process of filing new claims through agent channel and web channel for mobiles, smartphones and tablets, sending correspondence like email, fax and messages to customers regarding the status of the claim they made and also tracking capability once the claim is approved and the device is shipped. This project involves integration with other systems, to get the data of customer and to verify the authorization of the user and hence UAT (User Acceptance Testing) and Integration testing are added to testing phase for maintaining consistency. This
document includes problem statement, objective of the project and also nature and significance of the problem.

**Problem Statement**

The issue with Asurion legacy systems is that, there are two separate systems maintained for the claims made by reaching the customer care center (through phone or chat) and another system for the customers to file a claim over the web. The issue is, there is no synchronization between the two systems pertaining to the customer data when claims are made and the customer has to provide their details multiple times when they want to resume the claim over the different channels. Henceforth there is lot of redundant data in the application and it is becoming overload to the client system to manage existing customers and also the new customers who are keep on growing rapidly. Hence the performance of the application is downgrading and turnaround time for completing a claim process is increasing which is leading to lot of problems. As number of network provider clients is increasing, the legacy application is not able to handle the increasing number of customers and provide better service to their customers.

**Nature and Significance of the Problem**

Asurion provides their customers two possible ways for filing a claim. First option is through Agent (Calling a Customer care center) by phone and completing the claim process on the phone. Second option is to file a claim through Web channel, Asurion claim application is accessible to all the customers throughout the
world and they all can file a claim through the same URL ‘www.phoneclaim.com’ (Asurion Insurance Services, 1994).

Once the customer calls the care center to file a claim, the request is raised and will be routed to the Asurion customer service agent who will help the customer to complete the claim. In the process of making the claim complete, the customer has to provide all the details like device information, incident information like “when, where and what” along with the account information. Just in case if the customer wants to stop the claim process on the phone and wanted to resume the claims over the different channel (Through Web), there is no other option for the customer to resume the claim process instead they have to start the a new claim and have to provide all the information again, because there is no synchronization between the agent system and the web system. If the customer wishes to resume their claim process, they can do so but only through the same channel. This is leading to customer frustration as he has to provide the details multiple times if he wants to choose different channel for their claim process.

Another potential problem includes the performance of the application. Because of the redundancy in the application it is becoming over head to the legacy application in handling the clients and customers effectively and hence they are losing their business.

The scope of the project is to migrate the legacy system to PRPC v7.1 by adding more capabilities to the application and merging two systems into one system (Agent + Web) would solve all the problems addressed in best possible way.
Objective of the Project

Implementing a new system called ‘Horizon’ using Pega Rules Process Commander v7.1 ML7 with more capabilities to handle increasing number of customers by improve performance, eliminate data redundancy and reducing maintenance. Horizon system allows their customers to file a claim easily either by contacting customer care center or by Web without providing the details multiple times.

Project Questions/Hypotheses

1. What is the need for implementing Horizon and what are its benefits?
2. What is the need of using Pega Rules Process Commander v7.1 ML7?
3. What is the feasibility of using other methodologies compare to ASM?
4. What is the means of communication between Development, Functional, Testing and Business teams?

Limitations of the Project

Implementation of Horizon systems is now limited to only Device Protection coverage for both the Web and Agent channel. It later expands to retail customers.

Definition of Terms

UAT: User Acceptance Testing is performed post completion of developing the application. This part of testing is done using the legacy application customer base as the input data for the newly built application and is given for testing to the Business Users with live data.
Agent: Agent in Horizon refers to the Contact Center Operations.

Web: Web in Horizon refers to the [www.phoneclaim.com](http://www.phoneclaim.com).

**Summary**

We have covered the Problem Statement, Objective of the project, Nature and Significance of the project. In later part of the report, we will cover the background and literature review, which lead to rise of Horizon platform as an integrated application of Asurion Claims Service System.
Chapter 2: Background and Review of Literature

Introduction

Major focus of this chapter is towards the background and the literature review of the project along with the literature review related to the methodology.

Background Related to the Problem

Asurion legacy systems have separate systems maintained for the claims made by reaching the customer care center (through phone or chat) and another system for the customers to file a claim over the web. The issue is, there is no synchronization between the two systems pertaining to the customer data when claims are made and the customer has to provide their details multiple times when they want to resume the claim over the different channels. Henceforth there is lot of redundant data in the application and it is becoming overload to the client system to manage existing customers and also the new customers who are keep on growing rapidly. Hence the performance of the application is downgrading and turnaround time for completing a claim process is increasing which is leading to lot of problems. As number of network provider clients is increasing, the legacy application is not able to handle the increasing number of customers and provide better service to their customers.

Literature Related to the Problem

Horizon helps the customer by anticipating the needs of the customer by simplifying the steps for the claim process. Horizon achieves it by maintaining the synchronization of data between the two systems (Agent and Web). Customers
across the globe will connect to the Horizon to resolve their issues. So Horizon should focus on their customers with unified platform, to help their customers in best possible ways. This way, no matter how customers contact either by phone or by web or by means of both and which employee they interact with, Asurion should always deliver an efficient and accurate customer service experience by using Horizon system.

**Direct capture of objective meeting.** DCO is the process of capturing the business requirements, organizing them into appropriate rules and then saving them in PRPC. It includes both the functional and non-functional business requirements. An example of a functional requirement is that the system should automatically send an email to the manager if the purchase request is not approved in two days. An example of a non-functional requirement is that the system should render each screen within three seconds. **In this phase, the business use-cases are divided into atomic use cases.**

DCO sessions are conducted with the client to capture details of the application.

The main task in a DCO session is for the client to articulate the business use cases, and then divide that into atomic use cases. Designing draft flows and draft UIs for the business to view the partially functional application is followed.

1. Prep and Review—The DCO team reviews all the business requirements, interface requirements, and all other supplied documentation that is
provided to them. They then build the draft flows and draft UI’s ahead of the DCO session using this information.

2. White Board and Review–In this session, the draft flows and User interfaces are drawn on the white board. The SSA then recreates them in PRPC as flow rules and draft UIs, which are subsequently reviewed in another session.

3. Real-time capture–In this DCO session, the team member uses PRPC as a tool to capture the details as the discussion happens. The business team can see the changes immediately.

**Draft flow.** It is the responsibility of the SSA to create the Draft Flows in DCO sessions. SSA builds the draft flows in Designer Studio with all the available shapes and necessary for him. When a Draft flow is initially build, typically it contains a single assignment bounded by start and end shape. SSA then recreates this basic flow with the shapes available to him and based on the requirements to the Draft Flow. Designing draft flows well before will help eliminate re-work when the development of the application is started.

**Draft UI.** Draft UI’s are built based on the business requirements using Flow Actions, Sections and are shown to the business to seek the approval. Once the flow is confirmed, flow action and sections can be added in the draft UI stage. The UI is called draft since the UI fields do not reference any properties. Most of the controls in the UI design canvas can be added into the section without referencing any properties. The draft UI is not functional and it does not display the accurate data in
these fields. It is mainly used to validate the look and feel of the UI. SSA’s creates them as a part of DCO sessions.

Figure 1: Draft Flow of Speed Claim

Figure 2: Draft UI
Literature Related to the Methodology

Define the scope of the project and the sliver by capturing high level processes and business requirements for the sliver. Discuss is made on the work types that are needed and high-level use cases for the work types.

DCO sessions are then conducted. Teams meet and gather details around all the business requirements. This stage also involves deciding the work types and all the relevant use cases and requirements belonging to each work type. The QA team works on getting the test plans.
The primary flow is developed first and demonstrated to the business. The secondary flows and the exception path is then developed. And then the UI, external interfaces and reporting requirements are developed. Discussions are held with the IT team to talk about performance metrics and to ensure the application meets the enterprise standards.

**Summary**

Background work like conducting the Direct Capture of Objectives sessions, designing the draft flows, draft UI are explained in this chapter. Also the background related to the problem and literature related to the methodology also explained. Next chapter walks through the actual methodology enforced in the project.
Chapter 3: Methodology

Introduction

In this chapter, various steps were involved to make progress towards the accomplished objective. The **ASM** (Agile Scrum Methodology) gives particulars about the every step of the development process. Main steps involved in the Project are the Inception, Elaboration of requirements, the methods used in gathering the necessary data and the development of application.

Design of the Study

**ASM** is an iterative and incremental process model with main focus on application readiness and working towards the target end dates. The progress will be monitored and next steps are planned for proper delivery of product.

Agile methodology breaks the application development into small iterations. Typically each iteration lasts for about four weeks. Of them 11 days are for development and 8 days are for QA. Every iteration involves Product owner team, business process analyst team, development team, QA team work simultaneously on areas like grooming the user stories, analyzing them, design, coding, unit test an user acceptance testing.

**Grooming:** Requirements are converted to user stories and point estimation will be done in grooming session. The members of the team would do analysis of the user stories with inputs from the product owner (Business Team). Project approach would also be planned in grooming session. The outcome of the grooming session
would be the readiness of the user stories, which are then assigned to the developers and are ready for the development.

**Building**: Actual development of the application is built in this stage. If there is a perfect design, it makes the development work easier. Once the coding of the particular user story is completed, every developer has to come up with Tech Note about the user story he worked on and also including the details like ‘Approach followed and Rules used’. Developer has then have to perform the Unit Testing to ensure his user story meets the acceptance criteria.

**Testing**: In this stage, testing of the application is performed and the bugs are raised (If found), tracked, fixed and retested. After successful testing of the product, then the application goes to Go-Live stage.

**Go-live and production support**: Once the application is completed, here migration completion from legacy system to PRPC system, the teams should make sure the system is stable and performs up to the expectations and also check the customer needs are met

**Data Collection**

The data model is a critical part of every PRPC application. The data model defines the attributes and relationships that make up the objects that PRPC uses to process work and manipulate data.

The process of defining the data model is critical to a successful application. A good data model should define entities that can represent business objects in a way
that can be reused by other applications. In the end the model will be the roadmap for the classes and properties that will be used by the rest of the application.

Classes are the fundamental building blocks of almost everything in PRPC. Classes define the logical entities or objects that an application will work with. While many rules can apply to a class it is the property rules that define the attributes of the class and are critical to data collection.

Properties can be as simple as a single value, for example, First Name. Properties can also be complex and represent a list or another class all together.

A page is always defined as being of a specific class type. The class type defines the properties that can be defined on the page. Pages can be top-level pages or embedded pages. The term top-level page refers to pages that have a name and are not embedded in any other pages.

Embedded pages are embedded into other pages as properties themselves. When trying to understand the relationship of a class and a page it is important to remember that the class is the definition and does not contain values for its properties whereas the page is the actual instance.

First we define the Data class that will hold our properties. Since we will instantiate but not persist these pages we can define them as concrete and not belonging to a class group. In the data model, the embedded page or page list properties is a “has a” relationship. (Add figures of Property Rule form, Class Rule form, pages and page list from App explorer and Data Class hierarchy from Data Explorer).
Data Analysis

Data collected as a part of the application process, is then analyzed using Eligibility Engine. If the outcome of the Eligibility Engine is a valid, it then passed to Fulfillment Engine where in the DAX operations are performed and PCI Token is generated and further proceedings will be evaluated.

Timeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Timeline</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Preparation and</td>
<td>March 2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requirement Gathering</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design and Development</td>
<td>March 2015 – August 2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testing</td>
<td>August 2015 – September 2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Deployment</td>
<td>September 2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production</td>
<td>October 2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Defense</td>
<td>November 2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary

This chapter focuses on explaining in detail about the process of the project life cycle. It explains in detail the stages involved in the project implementation. The implementation and analysis techniques which best suited the project scope were detailed.
Chapter 4: Data Presentation and Analysis

Introduction

Primary focus of this chapter would be on the data presentation and strategies used to analyze the data efficiently collected as a part of the application and further decide the customer can proceed with a claim.

Data Presentation

To facilitate the synchronization between the two systems, below is Figure 4 for representation followed for Horizon system:

![Horizon Data Presentation Diagram](image)

Figure 4: Horizon Data Presentation
PegaChannelServices, CustomerProcessManager, AsurionCPMCore contains all the rules that handle the customers who contact the care center for claim process over phone or chat interaction. Horizon, HorizonWeb, HorizonWebDP contains all the rules that handle the customers who start their claim process over Web channel. This structure also facilitates the parent child relationship between the layers such that child layers can use their parent data without creating them again such that there would be no redundancy in data. Data provided by the customer over agent channel can be propagated to the web channel eliminating the redundancy.

Below is Figure 5 showing Class Structure:

![Class Structure of Horizon Application](image)

Figure 5: Class Structure of Horizon Application
Below is Figure 6 showing the Ruleset Hierarchy:

![Figure 6: Rulesets Hierarchy](image)

Below is Figure 7 showing Data Classes hierarchy:

![Figure 7: Data Class Hierarchy](image)
Below is Figure 8 for Connect-SQL:

![Connect-SQL](image)

**Figure 8: Connect-SQL**

**Data Analysis**

Data is collected at various parts of the application like Device Make, Model, followed by Incident Details. Then the customer demographic information like first and last name, additional phone number, email, shipping address are collected and sent to Eligibility Engine. Rigorous eligibility tests are performed by Eligibility Engine and if the outcome of the Eligibility Engine is passed and then the customer becomes eligible to perform a claim. Here a part of data collection is performed again like collecting the shipping address details, gathering the payment information. Once the payment information is validated and if it passes the validation then the device is shipped by DAX system from Asurion Fulfillment Center.

Below is Figure 9 for Activities, which are responsible primarily for performing data analysis at various steps throughout the flow process.
Figure 9: Activities Used for Data Analysis

Below is Figure 10 representing the Outline of Eligibility Engine.

Figure 10: Eligibility Engine Outline
A series of steps like checking the Agreement, validating the incident type given by customer, Reship scenario are performed in Eligibility Engine to decide the outcome. Below, Figure 11 represents the Eligibility Engine, where data analysis is performed on the data collected and decides the Eligibility outcome.

![Eligibility Engine Diagram](image)

Figure 11: Eligibility Engine

Once the outcome of Eligibility Engine is passed, then the data is now sent to Fulfillment Engine where the address standardization is performed, payment-processing information is gathered and validated and finally the data is routed to DAX system. DAX system then analyzes the received information and then it ships the approved device from Asurion fulfillment center. All these series of steps are performed as a part of Fulfillment Engine. Below is Figure 12, which shows the series of steps, performed as a part of Fulfillment Engine.
This chapter helps us in evaluation the process of claim made by the customer. We process the data collected as part of this application through Eligibility Engine and determine the claims eligibility. Then it needs to pass through the Fulfillment Engine and determine the outcomes of the DAX operations and further proceedings also included.
Chapter 5: Results, Conclusion, and Recommendations

Introduction

This chapter focuses on providing the final result of the project. Subsequently, the project questions raised before conducting this study are answered briefly. Possible recommendations are made based on the result and conclusion for further possible improvement opportunities.

Results

The implementation of Horizon system was successfully completed using PRPC v7.1.7 has no redundant data as this process maintains synchronization between the Agent Channel and the Web Channel.

Conclusion

The implementation of Horizon system reduces the redundancy, improves the performance and saves budget for Asurion from huge liabilities. Horizon helps customers to file a claim in Web and resume it by calling CCO without giving them the information repeatedly.

The Scrum model that was implemented in the Horizon project resulted in a Complex, flexible and a robust application.

Horizon Web application is user friendly and very handy for all the users who file a claim over Web. Horizon Agent application is highly reliable and highly performed application and is flexible in usage by Agents who work at Asurion CCO.
Recommendations

1. The Security level can be increased based on the user requirements by providing condition at the DAX Filters in the Manage Roles.

2. Maintain a single code base for all the clients of Asurion and have their specific requirements implemented on top of that core code base.

3. DAL services can be improved by introducing the XREF while creating a DAL service.

4. Session Timeouts for Horizon Web application can be handled in a better way.

5. Implement the Google No Captcha ReCaptcha to determine a Human making a claim or by a Robot.

6. Introduce Internet Authentication Composer to embedded the Pega application into HTML file.
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